

Tilburg University

Simulation in the evaluation of management information systems

Kleijnen, J.P.C.

Publication date:
1979

[Link to publication in Tilburg University Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kleijnen, J. P. C. (1979). *Simulation in the evaluation of management information systems: An overview and evaluation*. (Research memorandum / Tilburg University, Department of Economics; Vol. FEW 81). Unknown Publisher.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

CBM
R

7626
1979
81



Bestemming




TUDSCHRIFTENBUREAU
BIBLIOTHEEK
KATHOLIEKE
HOGESCHOOL
TILBURG

Nr.



subfaculteit der econometrie

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM



TILBURG UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS

Postbus 90135 - 5000 LE Tilburg
Netherlands



SIMULATION IN THE EVALUATION OF MANAGEMENT
INFORMATION SYSTEMS: AN OVERVIEW AND
EVALUATION.

Jack P. C. Kleijnen.

December 1979.

A 8

*T management information systems
7 performance evaluation*

CONTENTS

Abstract	
1. Introduction	1
2. Control Theory	2
3. System Dynamics	4
4. Simulation	7
5. Gaming	9
6. Conclusion	10
References	11

SIMULATION IN THE EVALUATION OF MANAGEMENT INFORMATION
SYSTEMS: AN OVERVIEW AND EVALUATION.

Jack P. C. Kleijnen
Department of Business and Economics
Katholieke Hogeschool
Tilburg, the Netherlands.

Abstract

The economic evaluation of Management Information Systems may be based on the following theories and techniques: control theory, System Dynamics, (discrete-event) simulation, and gaming. Applications of these approaches are summarized. Advantages and disadvantages of the various approaches are presented.

1. Introduction

Traditionally the emphasis in computer science and information science (called "informatica" in Europe) has been on the evaluation of the technical performance of computer systems, measured by such criteria as throughput, response time, CPU utilization; see, for instance, Kobayashi (1978). Only a few attempts have been made to model the economic performance of computerized information systems -or MIS (Management Information Systems)- measured by criteria like profits, sales, inventories, etc. In this paper we shall evaluate economic evaluation studies based on System Dynamics models and "discrete" simulation.

2. Control Theory

Control Theory highlights the role of feedback and feed-forward information. Negative feedback means that information on a variable is compared to some desired goal value, and in case of deviation between the actual and the goal value, corrective action is taken. Feedforward means that predictions or forecasts are made such as sales forecasts. The advantage of Control Theory over techniques such as simulation is that it provides more general conclusions. The disadvantage of Control Theory is that it must introduce drastic simplifications in order to keep the mathematical problems within limits. Hence its models are usually continuous, i.e., a very aggregated view of the world is maintained. The models are also linear in order to simplify analytical solutions (using Laplace transformations). Steady-state solutions are relatively easy to obtain so that transient behavior tends to be neglected. Deterministic models are simpler to solve than stochastic models. Hence bias but no stochastic noise was examined in the study by Politzer & Wilmès (1977) which we shall discuss below.

Delays are modeled through, e.g., first order exponential delays. The effects of such delays are illustrated in FIG. 1: In the upper part the input shows a temporary increase (pulse) and in the lower part the input shows a permanent increase (ramp function). The reaction of the output depends on the order of the delay function, as the figure illustrates. These delay concepts correspond with a continuous view of the world as is maintained in electrical engineering. For a critical discussion of such delay functions (also used in System Dynamics) we refer to Riethmüller & Schreiber (1977). In Kleijnen (1979) several sources of delay in MIS are discussed, such as update interval (say, weekly versus monthly processing), retrieval delay in real-time-systems, decision delay (time to reach a decision and to affect the




FIG.1. Delays of first and second order

physical system) etc. These sources of delay would require non-continuous, non-linear, stochastic models if we want a realistic model. So Control Theory is far removed from the mental models used by System Analysts and most users in data processing. It remains possible that at higher levels of decision-making a more aggregated view as proposed by Control Theory, becomes more appropriate.

Politzer & Wilmès (1977) applied control theory to the evaluation of a MIS. They restricted their study to a classical model for planning inventories and production originated by Holt et al. (1960) and known as the HMMS model. This model assumes simple quadratic cost relationships. The authors derived a steady-state solution based on a continuous version of the HMMS model, and they studied the effects of (exponential) "delay" and bias. Gross value was found to react asymmetrically to multiplicative bias, i.e., overestimation had a different effect compared to underestimation. Delay was found to have an optimal value larger than zero, i.e., on-line systems do not necessarily yield higher gross benefits. However, remember that these conclusions were derived under a number of restrictive assumptions!

If the Control Theoretic model cannot be solved analytically then we may resort to simulation, especially System Dynamics simulation.

3. System Dynamics

System Dynamics (SD) or Industrial Dynamics has as its philosophy that each socio-technical system can be looked upon as a dynamic system with (negative) feedback. Since it takes time to collect and process data on the output, to compare the resulting information to the norm, and to adapt the decision variables, time lags are created which make the

model dynamic. Delays in information transmission may cause fluctuations within the company, as opposed to externally caused business cycles. The computer language that has been developed especially for this SD approach is DYNAMO, but other languages can also be used, e.g., FORTRAN or the simulation language CSMP.

There has been quite some controversy about the usefulness of the SD methodology. SD may tackle any problem, ranging from some subsystem within a company to such world problems as world energy resources. The approach does not pretend to give exact numerical predictions but it does show the dynamic properties of a system, e.g., oscillations; Ansoff & Slevin (1968). Thissen (1978) examined a variety of techniques for improving the understanding of complex SD models. Nordhaus (1973) emphasized that in SD relationships and variables are not based on empirical data - as opposed to traditional econometrics - while changing an assumption may drastically affect the model's outcome. We feel that compared to other simulation approaches, SD has the advantage of being more than a technique. However, the very advantage of being a framework means that the modeler has to adopt a world view (with levels and rates) that is not spontaneous, but requires learning (or indoctrination?). For instance, a discrete-event simulation of a queuing system is a direct representation of the spontaneous, intuitive way such a system would be described by "anybody". Unfortunately, such a discrete - event description is too detailed for "large" systems. Note that if one is willing to adopt the SD view of the world, mastering the SD technique takes quite some practicing. This in the art of model building.

Of special interest to us is the concept of delay in SD. As in Control Theory SD uses delays of first order, second order, etc; see Fig. 1. above. We find a discrete view

more compatible with the MIS world view; see section 2.

Though a great many studies have been performed using the SD methodology, only a few of these studies concern the explicit study of information systems. We shall summarize one such study. More SD studies are presented in Kleijnen (1979). We shall further include a series of studies performed at Philips Electronics which was strongly influenced by the SD framework.

Swanson (1971) investigated the effects of error distortion (bias), delay, and sampling (update interval). He modeled the control of manpower, production, and inventory, based on information on sales and inventory. Swanson found that delay had the largest effect, while error had the smallest effect. His conclusions, however, are not based on solid statistical methods: only two simulation runs per case; no interactions measured.

At Philips Industries - Braat (1973), van Aken (1978) - a series of studies was performed under the acronym IPSO: Initiating Production by Sales Orders. This effort was aimed at a study of the effects of delays in information. Moreover, the study examined the scope of the IS: In a chain of production processes - or, more generally, a network of production processes - a process may be provided with information on the demand from the next process. Alternatively, this information may be augmented with marketing information, i.e., the demand by the ultimate customers of the company. Extending the scope of the data base so that besides "local" data also "global" data are available, was found to dampen the oscillations in the company's inventories and activities. Note that this series of studies combined a number of techniques

and ideas ("models"). The models were strongly influenced by System Dynamics. The simulation experiments were combined with analytical results derived for simplified models, e.g. Control Theory models. Analysis suggested which SD models to simulate, and simulation results were used to test analytical approximations.

In conclusion, SD is an extremely popular method with some people. It is much more than a technique; it is a world view. SD is best known for its study of large scale systems: World Dynamics, Urban Dynamics, etc. For such large systems an aggregated view seems necessary. At such high levels of aggregation ("the" pollution, "the" world consumption) continuous models may be adequate: flows, rates, exponential delays. However, at the level of MIS we prefer more detailed models with discrete events, aperiodic decisions, and realistic representations of information attributes. A technique permitting such a level of detail, is simulation - and its extension, gaming. Note that the derivation of adequate decision rules (policies) is assisted by SD modeling. Especially when the MIS is integrated (e.g. coupling the production and the inventory system), then large systems must indeed be managed.

4. Simulation

The structure of simulation models can be different in the following respects. The system may be modeled by difference equations so that at equispaced points of time the state of the system is computed. An example is a corporate simulation model based on balance and profit & loss account relationships. Slightly more complicated difference equations are used in System Dynamics: a set of recursive difference equations with variable coefficients (rates). In discrete event simu-

lation the model is a most detailed representation of the real-world system in so far as individual events are represented. Many languages have been developed for this approach, e.g., GPSS and SIMSCRIPT. In this section the term "simulation" will exclude SD simulation models.

Simulation permits the most realistic models. Moreover, the user - the client of the model builder - can better understand a simulation model. A disadvantage is that it may take much effort to build and run a simulation model, while the responses are valid only for the specified values of the input variables and parameters strictly speaking. In Kleijnen (1979), however, we have explained how statistical techniques can be used to generalize simulation results. Next we shall present an example of a simulation study on the value of information.

Boyd & Krasnow (1963) performed an interesting discrete-event simulation study on the timeliness of information in the context of a hypothetical manufacturing company. They investigated periodic decision-making, tactical decisions being made less frequently than operational decisions. They also varied the processing delay (turnaround time). The delays affected the speed with which decisions could react to changes in the environment such as changes in demand. This lead to fluctuations in both profit and physical variables like inventory. Reductions in the various delays improved profit significantly.

Note that Welke (1975) criticized the ad hoc character of simulation studies such as made by Boyd & Krasnow (1963). He proposed a general framework for the simulation modeling of information systems. His framework supports a user's view, as opposed to the traditional technical data processing view.

The framework can be applied to a particular system in order to generate a descriptive model, which can next be evaluated using a discrete-event simulation package. We refer to Welke (1975) for more details.

5. Gaming

In gaming or man-machine simulation one or more input variables is determined by human participants or "players". Let us briefly compare gaming to pure computer simulation including System Dynamics simulation. The relative advantage of gaming is its behavioral realism: Decision - makers may have multiple - possibly vague - goals. They may use heuristic - possibly inconsistent - decision rules. They may form competing or colluding teams. They may show learning behavior or fatigue and boredom, and so on. The disadvantages of gaming relative to pure machine simulation, are lack of experimental control and certain practical nuisances: If the purpose of the game is to reach general conclusions rather than to train people, then human participation creates much noise. For instance, a player can show inferior performance not because he is supplied with good information but because he lacks "feeling", gets bored, is confronted with superior opponents, etc. General conclusions require repeated runs with the game. But repeated runs are expensive or may even be impossible because of lack of players and computer time. Moreover, repeated runs are not completely comparable since the players show learning, fatigue, etc. This brief discussion was not meant to disqualify gaming as a useful research instrument, but to warn for possible pitfalls. A general reference on gaming is Shubik (1975). We shall next summarize a series of gaming experiments aimed at the evaluation of information.

The "Minnesota" gaming experiments were performed at the

University of Minnesota's MIS Research Center during the period 1970-1975. In these experiments relationships were investigated among

- (i) the information system itself,
- (ii) the decision-maker: psychological characteristics, experience,
- (iii) the decision environment: operational versus strategic, etc.

Dickson et al. (1977) summarized nine different gaming experiments. The experiments taken together, showed that effects did occur when changing the experimental factors, i.e., information system, decision-maker, environment. Unfortunately, no simple general relationships could be formulated.

6. Conclusion

We surveyed a number of theories and techniques relevant for the economic evaluation of MIS, namely Control Theory, System Dynamics, simulation, and gaming. Their relative advantages and disadvantages were indicated.

In Kleijnen (1979) we survey some more theories and tools, for instance, Bayesian decision analysis (also known as Information Economics), linear programming, entropy models, empirical studies (case studies, prototypes, etc). That reference also contains a detailed discussion of a study, combining a number of ideas contained in the preceding text: The economic effects of information accuracy are evaluated in a system created by a well-known IBM management game. This game, however, is not played by human participants but by computerized "players" (robots), turning the game into a pure machine simulation. The decision rules of these robots include feedbacks and feedforwards as suggested by Control Theory and System Dynamics.

The evaluation of the economic benefits of MIS forms an underdeveloped area. In order to make progress in this area, we need theory plus tools. Theories provide framework, clues, guidelines, ideas, etc. Tools provide numerical results. In the context of MIS relevant theories seem to be: Control Theory, System Dynamics, Bayesian Information Economics. Useful tools are simulation, gaming, and - more generally - mathematical modeling and statistics. A new management style, fostered by more scientifically trained managers, might stimulate the acceptance of formal models. This paper surveyed a number of formal theories, models, and techniques. Their practical application certainly requires a lot more work to do!

References

1. ANSOFF, H.I. and D.P. SLEVIN, An appreciation of industrial dynamics. MANAGEMENT SCIENCE, 14, no. 7, March 1968, pp. 383-397.
2. BOYD, D.F. and H.S. KRASNOW, Economic evaluation of management information systems. IBM SYSTEMS JOURNAL, 2, March 1963, pp. 2-23.
3. BRAAT, J.J.M., The I.P.S.O. control system. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PRODUCTION RESEARCH, 11, no. 4, 1973, pp. 417-436.
4. DICKSON, G.W., J.A. SENN and N.L. CHERVANY, Research in management information systems: The Minnesota experiments. MANAGEMENT SCIENCE, 23, no. 9, May 1977, pp. 913-923.
5. HOLT, C.C., F. MODIGLIANI, J.F. MUTH and H.A. SIMON, PLANNING PRODUCTION, INVENTORIES AND WORK FORCE. Prentice-Hall Book Company, Englewood-Cliffs, 1960.

6. KLEIJNEN, J.P.C., COMPUTERS AND PROFITS; QUANTIFYING FINANCIAL BENEFITS OF INFORMATION. Addison- Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Nov. 1979.
7. KOBAYASHI, H., MODELING AND ANALYSIS: AN INTRODUCTION TO SYSTEM PERFORMANCE EVALUATION METHODOLOGY. Addison-Wesly Publishing Company, Reading, 1978.
8. NORDHAUS, W.D., World Dynamics: Measurement without data. ECONOMIC JOURNAL, 83, Dec. 1973, pp. 1156 - 1183.
9. POLITZER, J.P. and P. WILMES, OPTIMAL DESIGN OF MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS THROUGH CONTROL THEORY. Working paper no. 77-5, Faculté d'Administration, University of Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke (Québec), 1977.
10. RIETMÜLLER, C.E. and B.D. SCHREIBER, Delays in socio-economical systems dynamics models. In: SIMULATION '77, Proceedings of the International Symposium, edited by M.H. HAMZA, Acta Press, Anaheim (California), 1977.
11. SHUBIK, M., THE USES AND METHODS OF GAMING. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Inc., New York, 1975.
12. SWANSON, C.V., EVALUATING THE QUALITY OF MANAGEMENT INFORMATION. Working paper, Alfred P. Sloan School of Management, Cambridge, Massachusetts, June 1971.
13. THISSEN, W.A.H., INVESTIGATIONS INTO THE CLUB OF ROME'S WORLD 3 MODEL; LESSONS FOR UNDERSTANDING COMPLICATED MODELS. Doctoral dissertation, Technical University, Eindhoven (Netherlands), 1978.

14. VAN AKEN, J.E., ON THE CONTROL OF COMPLEX INDUSTRIAL ORGANIZATIONS. Martinus Nijhoff Social Sciences Division, Leiden (Neth.), 1978.
15. WELKE, R.J., INFORMATION SYSTEM EVALUATION - A "NON-BAYESIAN" APPROACH. Faculty of Business, Mc Master University, Hamilton, Ontario, July 1975.

Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant



17 000 01059834 1